

Message

---

**From:** McDavit, Michael W. [McDavit.Michael@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 8/3/2017 12:56:34 PM  
**To:** Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip [Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov]  
**CC:** McGill, Thomas [McGill.Thomas@epa.gov]; Hurlid, Kathy [Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov]; Able, Tony [Able.Tony@epa.gov]; Kaiser, Russell [Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: regulatory cross-walk

I completely agree with you. Thanks for the willingness to fine tune the table with Corps regs.

---

**From:** Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 03, 2017 8:16 AM  
**To:** McDavit, Michael W. <McDavit.Michael@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** McGill, Thomas <McGill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Hurlid, Kathy <Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: regulatory cross-walk

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I will work on this today.

Phil

---

**From:** McDavit, Michael W.  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 03, 2017 7:50 AM  
**To:** Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** McGill, Thomas <McGill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Hurlid, Kathy <Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: regulatory cross-walk

Good point

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Mike

---

**From:** Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 02, 2017 6:29 PM

**To:** McDavit, Michael W. <[Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** McGill, Thomas <[Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov](mailto:Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov)>; Hurlid, Kathy <[Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov](mailto:Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov)>; Able, Tony <[Able.Tony@epa.gov](mailto:Able.Tony@epa.gov)>; Kaiser, Russell <[Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov](mailto:Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: regulatory cross-walk

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

This Phil

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 2, 2017, at 5:53 PM, McDavit, Michael W. <[Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov)> wrote:

I think we can put some fresh eyes on it, but I am not too worried. I'll talk to Russ.

---

**From:** McGill, Thomas

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 02, 2017 5:52 PM

**To:** McDavit, Michael W. <[Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov)>; Hurlid, Kathy <[Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov](mailto:Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov)>; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <[Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov](mailto:Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov)>; Able, Tony <[Able.Tony@epa.gov](mailto:Able.Tony@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** FW: regulatory cross-walk

Mike – I know Kathy is out of pocket for the next 2 weeks. While I understand the attachment reflects a comprehensive set of requirements that a State would need to have in place in order to have an acceptable 404 assumption package, would you or anyone on your staff be able to verify that?

Phil/Tony – If you see anything missing from the attachment regarding required state authorities please chime-in.

Thanks.

Tom

---

**From:** McGill, Thomas

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 2, 2017 5:48 PM

**To:** 'Green, Justin B.' <[Justin.B.Green@dep.state.fl.us](mailto:Justin.B.Green@dep.state.fl.us)>; Seward, Margaret <[Margaret.Seward@dep.state.fl.us](mailto:Margaret.Seward@dep.state.fl.us)>

**Cc:** McDavit, Michael W. <[Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov)>; Kaiser, Russell <[Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov](mailto:Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov)>; Hurlid, Kathy <[Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov](mailto:Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov)>; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <[Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov](mailto:Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov)>; Able, Tony <[Able.Tony@epa.gov](mailto:Able.Tony@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** regulatory cross-walk

Justin and Megan,

Per our discussion this afternoon I'm attaching a regulatory cross-walk that includes the authorities of a state program that we would need to see in a 404 assumption package. While I believe this is comprehensive in terms of the scope of authorities that need to be in place for an approvable assumption package, I am in the process of verifying that within my office. This cross-walk template was used by EPA in our work with other states that have explored assumption, and while we included some examples of other state authorities within the attachment we removed the specific citations for the purpose of keeping those states anonymous. Hopefully you'll find this document useful.

We look forward to continuing our discussions and please don't hesitate to follow-up with us if you have any questions or would like to discuss any issues related to this.

Tom